REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested. No new matter is added by the amendments herein.

Subject Matter Indicated as Allowable

Applicant acknowledges and appreciates the Examiner's indication that claims 44-73, 75-88, and 90-94 are allowed, and that claim 74 would be allowed if amended to correct a formality.

Drawings

Figures 1 and 5 are objected to for not including text labels for reference numbers 12, 14, 16, 18, and 21 in Figure 1, and reference numbers 90, 92, 98, 100, 102, 104, 106, 108, 110, 112, 114, 116, and 118 in Figure 5.

Applicant has added the requested text labels in both figures, and submitted these as replacement drawing sheets.

Claim Objections

Claims 44, 49, 59, 84, 85, and 91 are objected to for various informalities. Applicant has amended these claims, and it is believed that the objection is overcome as to these claims.

The Office Action has also noted that, because claim 89 was missing, claims 90-94 should be renumbered. However, because no defined procedure exists for renumbering claims, Applicant has designated claim 89 as "canceled." It is believed that the numbering of claims 90-94 should now be considered appropriate.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claim 74 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Office Action alleges that claim 74 (which depended from claim 44) is unclear as to what device is being claimed that is a result of defining the path and/or coil track as recited in the method of claim 44.

Claim 74 is rewritten in a manner that is believed to overcome this rejection. Specifically, claim 74 as amended recites a device that results from at least a portion of the recited method. For example, the recited device comprises a coil track in a layer of thin film

Maher – 10/548,086

Responsive to 1/26/2010 Office Action

material, where the track in the layer is fabricated as a result of, e.g., "defining the optimal path

in the layer to define the coil track," as recited in claim 74.

Miscellaneous Amendments

Some of the allowed claims have been further amended in various ways, such as for

clarity, that are not believed to affect the patentability of the allowed claims.

Conclusion

All objections and rejections having been addressed, it is submitted that the present

application is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any questions, the

Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: April 20, 2010

By: /Jordan N. Bodner/

Jordan N. Bodner

Registration No. 42,338

1100 13th Street, N.W.

Suite 1200

Washington, D.C. 20005-4051

(202) 824-3000

15